

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/598,668 06/20/2000 Gavin Peacock PALM-3215 5356 12/22/2004 EXAMINER Wagner Murabito & Hao LLP NGUYEN, QUANG N Two North Market Street ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Third Floor San Jose, CA 95113 2141

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	$\neg \preceq$
	09/598,668	3	PEACOCK, GAVIN	Q)
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Quang N. N	lguyen	2141	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	_		orrespondence addres	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Since this application is in condition for allowing the condition of the practice under the process of the process	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no ever n. a reply within the statuteriod will apply and will statute, cause the application and including date of this comparison. Control of the statute of this comparison of the statute. Control of the statute of the statute of the statute. Control of the statute of the statute of the statute. Control of the statute of the statute of the statute. Control of the statute of the statute of the statute. Control of the statute of the statute of the statute. Control of the statute of the statute of the statute. Control of the statute of the statute of the statute. Control of the statute of the statute of the statute. Control of the statute of the	nt, however, may a reply be timely minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cation to become ABANDONE munication, even if timely filed the confirmal.	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this community D (35 U.S.C. § 133). , may reduce any	
Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	ndrawn from con			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction are Application Papers	nd/or election re	quirement.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 June 2000</u> is/are				
Applicant may not request that any objection to			• •	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	· ·			
	C Examiner. Not	e the attached Office	Action of form FTO-1	JZ.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been nents have been priority documer reau (PCT Rule	received. received in Applications have been received 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stag	· le
Attachment(s)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date) 3/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:)
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Office	ce Action Summary	, Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail Date 20	041214

Art Unit: 2141

Detail Action

Page 2

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set

forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this

application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set

forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action

has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on

10/21/2004 has been entered.

Claims 1, 8 and 15 have been amended. Claims 1-21 are presented for

examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior act are such that the subject matter as a whole would be as a time of the subject matter.

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-2 and 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Eggleston et al. (US 6,101,531), herein after referred as

Eggleston, in view of Joseph (US 6,038,603).

Art Unit: 2141

Page 3

4. As to claim 1, Eggleston teaches a method of transferring data from handheld

device comprising the steps of:

a) forwarding information from an application on said handheld device to an

exchange manager (forwarding information from applications on mobile end computer

system 201 to a data transfer manager or exchange unit 206) on said handheld device

for communicating said information to an external device, said step a) performed by an

application resident on said handheld device (Eggleston, Fig. 2 and C5: L23-48);

b) in response to said information, said exchange manager referencing an

exchange library associated with said identified transport mechanism from a plurality of

exchange libraries (data transfer manager or exchange unit 206 referencing/accessing

data encoder/decoder 203 to accommodate the system communications protocols and

a transceiver and a modem to connect to a wireless or wireline communications

network), wherein said exchange library defines a communication protocol for said

identified transport mechanism and wherein said exchange manager supports a plurality

of communication protocols (Eggleston, Fig. 2 and C5: L23-48); and

c) communicating said information to a system, identified by said destination

(communicating said information to communication server 220, VMS 230, local email

post office 240, remote client-server host 255, and/or administrator host 260, etc. that is

external to mobile end computer system 201), that is external to said handheld device

using said communication protocol, said step c) performed by said identified transport

mechanism (Eggleston, Fig. 2 and C5: L5-10).

Art Unit: 2141

However, Eggleston does not explicitly teach said information having associated therewith a Uniform Resource Locator (URL) containing an identified transport mechanism for communicating said information and also a destination for said information.

In the related art, Joseph teaches a URL string (http://Server_A/File_Store/File) containing an identified transport mechanism (http://) and a destination (Server A) that a browser/application uses to communicate with another computer (Joseph, Fig. 2C and C2: L20-64).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Eggleston and Joseph to include an information having associated therewith a Uniform Resource Locator (URL) containing an identified transport mechanism for communicating said information and also a destination for said information since such methods were conventionally employed in the art to allow the data transfer exchange manager of various network devices uniquely identifying a resource by URL, which indicates a destination server on which the resource is located, the filename of the resource and the protocol to be used in retrieving the resource.

5. As to claim 2, Eggleston-Joseph teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said handheld device is a palmtop computer system comprising: a processor coupled to a bus; a memory unit coupled to said bus; a screen coupled to said bus; and a plurality of

Art Unit: 2141

transport mechanisms (a palmtop/handheld computer inherently comprises a processor,

Page 5

a memory unit, a screen coupled to a bus and a plurality of transport mechanism).

6. Claims 8-9 are corresponding system claims of method claims 1-2; therefore,

they are rejected under the same rationale.

7. Claims 3-7 and 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Eggleston-Joseph, further in view of Bodnar et al. (6,295,541),

herein after referred as Bodnar.

8. As to claims 3-4, Eggleston-Joseph teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the

data transfer manager or exchange unit 206 accommodates data transfer over a wide

variety of networks via data encoder/decoder 203 to a wide variety of destination

devices using various communications protocols including radio frequency (rf) or

infrared protocol or proprietary wireless carrier protocols (Eggleston, C5: L30-42), but

does not explicitly teach said plurality of communications protocols comprising an email

protocol and a synchronization protocol.

In the related art, Bodnar teaches a palmtop computer capable of

synchronization, infrared, radio frequency or wireless communications, and email

communications (Bodnar, Fig. 2 and C10: L42-53).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Eggleston-Joseph and Bodnar to include email, infrared, radio frequency and synchronization protocols in said communications protocols since such methods were conventionally employed in the art to provide additional options for communicating between a broad range or networks and devices.

- 9. As to claim 5, Eggleston-Joseph-Bodnar teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said information is a data file ("datasets" in C2: L23-33 of Bodnar and "File" from Fig. 2C of Joseph).
- 10. As to claim 6, Eggleston-Joseph-Bodnar teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said information is an application program (here "Official Notice" is taken as a "File" from Fig. 2C of Joseph and "datasets" of Bodnar might well be an application program).
- 11. As to claim 7, Eggleston-Joseph-Bodnar teaches the method of claim 1, but does not explicitly teach prompting the user for any unspecified criteria such as protocol to use or/and destination.

"Official Notice" is taken that both the concept and advantages of a system prompting a user for unspecified criteria are well known and expected in the art.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to prompt the user for unspecified criteria such as protocol to use or/and destination since such methods were conventionally employed in the art to ensure the data is manipulated into the recognizable format before sending out the receiving device using the compatible protocol.

Page 7

- Claims 10-14 are corresponding system claims of method claims 1-7; therefore, 12. they are rejected under the same rationale.
- 13. Claims 15-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eggleston-Joseph-Bodnar, further in view of Skarbo et al. (6,317,777), herein after referred as Skarbo.
- 14. As to claim 15, Eggleston-Joseph teaches the method for transferring/retrieving data as in claim 1, but does not explicitly teach storing said file/information in memory and associating said file with a data set associated with said application.

In the related art, Skarbo teaches a method for web based storage and retrieval of documents (file/information), comprising the steps of storing the document onto local disk storage 354, and accessing a document registry 358 stored within a system registry to identify an associated application for the document (Skarbo, C10: L52-56).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Eggleston-Joseph and Skarbo to store said file/document in memory and associating said file/document with a

Art Unit: 2141

data set associated with said application since such methods were conventionally

Page 8

employed in the art to allow the system to be flexible to accommodate data transfer

from a data origination device over a wide variety of networks to a wide variety of

destination devices using various communications protocols with different data formats.

15. Claims 16-21 are corresponding method claims of method claims 2-7; therefore,

they are rejected under the same rationale.

16. Applicant's arguments as well as request for reconsideration filed on 05/10/2004

have been fully considered but they are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

17. Further references of interest are cited on Form PTO-892, which is an

attachment to this office action.

Art Unit: 2141

Page 9

18. A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire THREE (3)

months from the mailing date of this communication. See 37 CFR 1.134.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Quang N. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571)

272-3886.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

SPE, Rupal Dharia, can be reached at (571) 272-3880. The fax phone number for the

organization is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED